## FY 2012 Japan Fair Trade Commission Performance Evaluation Report (Standard Format)

• 						-	an Fair Trade Commission 24-(2))		
Name of Activity	Measures against violations of the Antimonopoly Act, etc								
	Strict enforcement of the Antimonopoly Act Undertaking the necessary investigations (such as on-the-spot inspections and hearings) into suspected violations of								
Outline of Activity	the Antimonopoly Act, and where violations are recognized, issuing cease and desist orders and taking other necessary								
	measures such as issuing warnings.								
	Maintaining and promoting fair and free competition by strictly eliminating violations of the Antimonopoly Act such								
Goal	as cartels, bid rigging, and unfair trade practices, unjust low price sales in specific retail markets (alcoholic liquor, petrochemical products, and consumer electrical appliances) within two months, in principle, and causing violators to								
	cease and desist from this conduct (FY 2011).								
					FY 2011	FY 2012	Amount requested for FY 2013		
Budget-Related Activity		eakdown of Budget	FY 2009	FY 2010	F1 2011	F1 2012	Amount requested for 1-1 2015		
	Amount	Budget Supplemented (a)	206,055	199,302	221,963	224,503	224,496		
	Allocated (thousand	Budget Carried (b)	0	0	0	0	0		
	yen)	Total (a + b)	206,055	-	221,963	-	224,496		
		amount (thousand yen) (c)	202,079	196,546	208,253	· · ·	,		
		the administrative policy sp			ate	/	Relevant part (excerpt)		
							plan proper tendering and contracting		
							3. Matters concerning the thorough elimination of collusive bidding and other misconduct, mainly from tendering and		
						<ul> <li>(5) Matters concerning the prevention of ordering parties' involvement in collusive bidding</li> </ul>			
		for Measures to Plan Prope							
	(Cabinet de	and Contracting for Public V	WOrks			"The head of each ministry and agency shall strive to eliminate and prevent collusive bidding involving ordering			
						parties in accordance with the Act on the Elimination and			
						Prevention of Involvement in Bid Rigging and Penalties for Acts by Employees that Harm the Fairness of Bidding (Act			
						No. 101 of 2002)."			
							<ul> <li>O Chapter 2. Strengthening growth potential</li> <li>5. Revitalization of small- and medium-sized enterprises and</li> </ul>		
Important Cabinet Policy	Basic policies of 2009 for economic and fiscal reform (Cabinet decision)				June 23, 2009		strengthening of research and development		
Related to the Activity				June 2			<ol> <li>Revitalization of small- and medium-sized enterprises</li> <li> providing comprehensive support to small- and medium-</li> </ol>		
(Main Administrative					sized enterprises through measures including tightening controls under the Antimonopoly Act, Subcontract Act, etc."				
Policy Speeches, etc.)				III. Measures					
							<ol> <li>Measures related to competition policy</li> <li>Reviewing and reinforcing the structure of the Fair Trade</li> </ol>		
	Three-year	plan for promoting regulate	orv reform			Commission			
	-	vision) (Cabinet decision)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			(a) Reviewing and reinforcing the investigation capacity and structure concerning instances of violations of the			
						Antimonopoly Act			
						(iii) Setting and publishing new targets for ensuring prompt investigations and undertaking objective evaluations			
	Administrative policy speech to the 166th Diet J			January 26, 2007		"The frequent occurrence of collusive bidding involving public offices in both the central and local governments is			
						extremely regrettable. We will strictly enforce the amended law on the prevention of collusive bidding involving public offices, and unequivocally advance competitive bidding."			
		1.1.4	1	1 1.			actual Decults		
	Number of complaints on suspected violations (exclud complaints of unjust low price sales concerning retaili			-			Actual Results FY 2009 FY 2010 FY 2011		
	(See Note)	angust row price sures et		, same	2,460	FY 2008 3,685	2,794 2,094 1,657		
					,		Actual Results		
Evaluation Index	Number of processed cases (legal measures)				FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009 FY 2010 FY 2011		
				24		26 12 22 Actual Results			
	Number of processed cases (warnings)			FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009 FY 2010 FY 2011			
				10 4 9 3 2					
	Number of processed cases (cautions) (excluding cases of			Actual Results					
	unjust low price sales in which cautions were given through rapid processes)			FY 2007         FY 2008         FY 2009         FY 2010         FY 201           88         87         69         95         1					
				88 87 69 95 138 Actual Results					
	Number of	subject enterprises (legal m	easures)		FY 2007         FY 2008         FY 2009         FY 2010         FY 2011				
				193 49 84 109 303					
	Number of subject enterprises (warnings)			Actual figures           FY 2007         FY 2008         FY 2009         FY 2010         FY 2011					
		various of subject enterprises (warnings)			FY 2007         FY 2008         FY 2009         FY 2010         FY 2011           10         4         9         3         2				
Note:	The term "ret	ailing" herein refers to the retailin	ng of alcoholid	liquor, petro					

	Number of complaints of unjust low price sales concerning	Actual Results           FY 2007         FY 2008         FY 2009         FY 2010         FY 2011				
	retailing		FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
			9,668	8,979	8,675	7,102
	Number of cautions issued in cases of unjust low price sales	Actual Results           FY 2007         FY 2008         FY 2009         FY 2010         FY 2011				
	concerning retailing (through rapid processes)		FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
			3,654	3,225	2,700	1,772
		Actual Results				
	Amount of surcharges (10,000 yen)		FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
		1,129,686		3,607,471		4,425,784
	Number of enterprises against whom surcharge payment	Actual Results           FY 2007         FY 2008         FY 2009         FY 2010         FY 2011				
	orders were issued		FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
		162	87	106	156	277
		Actual Results				
	Amount of surcharges per enterprise (10,000 yen)	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
		6,973	31,076	34,032	46,209	15,977
		FY 2007		ctual Result	-	
	Number of criminal accusations		FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
		1 1 0 0 0				
Evaluation Index		Actual Results           FY 2007         FY 2008         FY 2009         FY 2010         FY 2011				
	Number of requests for application of the leniency program		FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
			85	85	131	143
	Number of legal measures concerning applications of the	Actual Results           FY 2007         FY 2008         FY 2009         FY 2010         FY 2011				
	leniency program which were published		FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
		16	8	21	7	9
	Length of time for processing cases in which legal measures	FY 2007		ctual Result		EX. 0011
	were taken (average of all cases, in months)		FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
		Approx. 9 Approx. 11 Approx. 12 Approx. 12 Approx. 15 Actual Results				
	Average length of time for processing cases of unjust low					
	price sales in the retailing of alcoholic liquor, petroleum	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
	products, and consumer electrical appliances (months)	—	3.4	2.4	2.2	2.1
	Target figures for Each Fiscal Year	_	2	2	2	2
		Actual Results				
	Amount of coverage in daily newspapers (lines) (See Note)	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
		_	17,188	21,237	20,673	22,256
	Amount of consumer benefits protected by legal measures	Actual Results				
	(100 million yen)	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
	· · ·		Approx. 4,079		Approx. 1,790	Approx. 2,793
Note: Calculated based on the assumption that one column of a newspaper article consists of approximately 70 lines.						

	Status of Achievements	The target length of the period to be taken for processing cases of unjust low price sales of alcoholic liquor, petroleum products, and consumer electrical appliances is up to two months, in principle. In fact, the average length of the period for processing such cases was around 2.1 months. This means that the target was mostly achieved.			
Results of Evaluations of Activity	Directions for Future Activity	Evaluated based on the evaluation indexes as a whole, the activity under review can be evaluated as being necessary and effective to maintain and promote fair, free competition. However, the JFTC needs to tackle the following issues. The average length of the period for processing cases in which legal measures were taken in FY2011 was around 15 months. This was caused by the JFTC taking on cases that by their nature took considerable time to investigate and cases without past precedents. One drawn-out case involved violations connected to automotive wire harnesses and associated products ordered by multiple automakers that required exchanges of information with overseas competition authorities to clarify the circumstances of the case. Other time- intensive cases involved abuses of superior bargaining positions that required the imposition of new surcharges established by legal amendments. To ensure further swiftness in terms of case processing, the JFTC needs to process cases more efficiently and improve its ability to investigate cases by making effective use of its resources (manpower and budget) for dealing with Complaints of unjust low price sales concerning retailing increased significantly in FY2008, and the number of such cases remained at the same level in FY2011. The JFTC therefore needs to further strengthen enforcement to continue to deal promptly and appropriately with complaints of unjust low price sales. Although there were no instances of criminal accusations in FY 2011, one case was investigated on the basis of a criminal proceeding. The JFTC needs to further improve its ability to collect information in order to be more proactive in discovering cases that warrant criminal accusations.			

Use of Expert Knowledge	• The average length of time to process the 22 cases in which legal measures were taken has increased since the last fiscal year. Has the processing time for all cases gotten longer, or just for some cases? I think the reason for the longer processing times should be noted in the 'Directions for Future Activity' section. (Mr. Kakizaki) (We revised the details based on the above opinion.)						
	"Enforcement Status of the Antimonopoly Act in FY 2011"						
Materials and Other	Drafted by: The JFTC						
Information Used During	Published on: June 6, 2012.						
Policy Evaluation							
	The above material is held by the General Affairs Division, Secretariat, General Secretariat, the JFTC.						
Responsible Department	Management and Planning Division, Investigation Bureau	Responsible Person	Isao Kasubuchi, Director of the Management and Planning Division	Year/Month for Policy Evaluation	April – July, 2012		