FY 2012 Japan Fair Trade Commission Performance Evaluation Report (Standard Format) (The Japan Fair Trade Commission

	(The Japan Fair Trade Commission 24-(4))								
Name of Activity	Measures against violations of the Subcontract Act, etc.								
Outline of Activity	Appropriate administration of the Subcontract Act Gathering information through written surveys, etc., conducting necessary investigations (on-site investigations, summoning, etc.) with respect to suspected violations of the Subcontract Act, and taking necessary measures (legal measures (recommendations pursuant to Article 7 of the Subcontract Act) or instructions) in cases where violations are acknowledged. Disseminating and promoting the Subcontract Act by hosting training for promoting proper subcontracts.								
Goal	Promoting fairness in subcontract transactions and protecting the interests of subcontractors by dealing speedily and accurately with main subcontracting entrepreneurs who violate the Subcontract Act by delaying payment, reducing the amount of subcontract proceeds, etc. (aiming for processing periods of within 10 months in cases of recommendations and within three months in cases of instructions) and seeking to eliminate such practices, and by seeking to disseminate information and knowledge about the Subcontract Act.								
	Breakdown of Budget F		FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011 FY 2012 Amount reque		equested for	FY 2013
	Amount Allocated	Budget Supplemented (a)	95,121	116,948	133,076	140,166			137,170
Budget-Related Activity	(thousand	Budget Carried (b)	0	0	0	0			0
	yen)	Total (a + b)	95,121	116,948		140,166			137,170
		mount (thousand yen) (c)	87,389	107,644					
Important Cabinet Policy Related to the Activity (Main Administrative Policy Speeches, etc.)	Name of the administrative policy speech, etc. Date Comprehensive Measures on Yen Appreciation: Toward the Construction of a Risk-Tolerant Society (Cabinet decision) October Charter for Small and Medium Entrepreneurs of Japan (Cabinet decision) June 18			assistance for the merger or combination of small and medium-sized businesses, and strict enforcement of the Act against Delay in Payment of Subcontract Proceeds, Etc. to Subcontractors, the Government will strengthen the functions of the Shitauke Kakekomidera (Subcontractors' "Safe Haven") such as running free lawver counselling sessions. 3. (5) Enhancing fairness in markets The Government will strictly enforce the laws designed to protect the legitimate profits of SMEs, prevent large			n f medium- aged, all and it of the Act ds, Etc. to the functions "Safe g sessions. esigned to large the amount of rge		
	Implementation status of written surveys concerning subcontract transactions (firms) [See Notes 1&2]			No. of Main subcontra No. of Subcontractors	enterprises that demand excessive quality which inflicts unreasonable costs on SMEs. FY 2007 FY 2008 FY 2009 FY 2010 FY 2011 of Main subcontracting entrepreneurs 30,268 34,181 36,342 38,046 38,503 of Subcontractors 168,108 160,230 201,005 210,166 212,659				
	Number of violations processed (recommendations) (cases)			FY 2007 13	2007 FY 2008 FY 2009 FY 2010 FY 2011				
	Number of violations processed (instructions) (cases)			FY 2007 2,740	FY 2008 2,949	FY 2009 3,590	FY 2010 4,226	FY 2011 4,326	
Evaluation Index	Processing period for recommendations (percentage of cases processed within 10 months) (%)			FY 2007 46.2	A FY 2008 73.3	ctual Result FY 2009 46.7	s FY 2010 46.7	FY 2011 38.9	
	Target figures for Each Fiscal Year			100	100	100	100	100	
	Processing period for instruction (Percentage of cases processed within 3 months) (%)			FY 2007 96.2	A FY 2008 96.2	ctual Result FY 2009 96.7	s FY 2010 95.9	FY 2011 97.2	
	Target figures for Each Fiscal Year			100	100	100	100	100	
	Interests of subcontractors directly protected by measures (10,000 yen) [See Note 3]			FY 2007 116,048	A FY 2008 318,614	ctual Result FY 2009 60,615	s FY 2010 149,543	FY 2011 322,203	
Note 1:		t Act defines "main subcontracting			ctors" according	g to the nature of	f commissioned		

enterpreneurs commissioning transactions, and the capital of the entrepreneurs commissioned to undertake transactions.

Note 2: Given the characteristics of subcontract transactions, even if a subcontractor suffers a disadvantage as a result of a violation of the Subcontract Act by a main subcontracting entrepreneur, the subcontractor is unlikely to voluntarily provide information about the relevant violation. To redress this situation, the JFTC regularly conducts written surveys of main subcontracting entrepreneurs and their subcontractors.

Note 3: Total value of restoration measures (return of reduced amount of subcontract proceeds, etc.) taken by main subcontracting entrepreneurs with respect to disadvantages suffered by subcontractors in accordance with measures taken by the JFTC.

		Actual Results					
	Number of training sessions for promoting proper	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
	subcontracts hosted (times)	30	31	32	30	33	
	Number of participants in training for promoting proper	Actual Results					
	subcontracts (firms)	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
		4,092	4,080	4,307	3,935	4,412	
	Understanding of the Subcontract Act (scope of application	Actual Results					
	of the Subcontract Act and duties of main subcontracting	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
	entrepreneurs) after training (%) [See Note 4]	-	-	-	93.2	91.4	
	Understanding of the Subcontract Act (prohibited activity for main subcontracting entrepreneurs) after training (%)	Actual Results					
		FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Evaluation Index	[See Note 4]	-	-	-	96.4	94.3	
	Number of accesses to brochures about the Subcontract Act	Actual Results					
	published on the JFTC website	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
	published on the JFTC website	-	-	-	155,049	172,623	
	Number of accesses to texts of training for promoting	Actual Results					
	proper subcontracts published on the JFTC website	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
	proper subcontracts published on the sr TC website	-	-	-	46,937	79,668	
	Amount of coverage of recommendations in daily	Actual Results					
	newspapers (lines) [See Note 5]	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
	newspapers (nnes) [See Note 5]	1,538	3,970	1,328	1,850	1,892	
	Number of accesses to FY2011 recommendations	Actual Results					
	published on the JFTC website	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
		-	-	-	156,430	252,396	

Note 4: The fgure for understanding is the percentage of participants who answered "Clearly understood" or "Largely understood" in a questionnaire survey . Note 5: Calculated based on the assumption that one column of a newspaper is approximately 70 lines.

	Status of Achievements	Violations of the Subcontract Act in relation to which the JFTC issued a instruction were, on the whole (97.2%), processed within the target processing period of 3 months. The target for processing the violations of the Subcontract Act issuing a recommendation was not met. 38.9 percent of these violations were processed within the target processing period of 10 months (300 days). The average processing length was 310 days.				
Results of Evaluations of Activity	Directions for Future Activity	Evaluated based on the evaluation indexes as a whole, the activity can be evaluated as being necessary and effective for promoting the fairness of subcontract transactions and protecting the interests of subcontractors. However, the activity has the following issues. In FY2011, although the JFTC worked hard to process cases, with the number of measures taken against main subcontracting entrepreneurs hitting record highs of 18 for recommendations and 4,326 for instructions, 11 of the 18 cases of recommendations were not processed within the target processing period. This was the result of an increase in time-intensive cases in which the main subcontracting entrepreneurs committed multiple violations against subcontractors, etc. The JFTC must work to further strengthen systems of its investigative divisions in order to shorten processing period, and promote the increase and accumulation of know-how concerning the investigation of cases, particularly the collection and analysis of evidence by investigation division officers. Based upon feedback from questionnaires after the training for promoting proper subcontracts, The JFTC also needs to strive to improve the equipment used in the training and the explanation methods in order to increase participant' motivation to attend. This includes giving explanations using such equipment as DVDs and projectors as well as providing training to officials giving lectures so they can acquire better presentation skills.				

Use of Expert Knowledge	• Why has the number of recommendation cases and instruction cases increased? If the upward trend continues, some other measures will probably be necessary, over and above just improving the know-how of officials. (Mr. Tanabe) (Some reasons for the increase in cases where measures were taken are the sluggish economic conditions, caused in part by yen appreciation, and the increase in cases overall, and because more wholesalers and retailers are now subject to the Subcontract Act due to the increase in private label products. This is why we believe it is an ongoing necessity to work to boost organizational strength and improve the capabilities of investigation division officers.)
Materials and Other Information Used During Policy Evaluation	 (1) "Status of Enforcement of the Subcontract Act, etc. and Measures for Fairness of Inter-Entrepreneur Transactions in FY2011" Drafted by: The JFTC Published on : May 30, 2012 (2) Questionnaire about Hosting of Seminars for Promotion of Equitable Subcontractor Transactions Survey entrepreneurs: 4,412 firms Survey method: Questionnaire survey of seminar participants Drafted by: The JFTC Survey period: November 2011 Number of valid responses: 3,624 firms (this figure is for the number of collected questionnaires, as the number of valid responses varies with each questionnaire item) (Note) The above materials are all held by the General Affairs Division, Secretariat, General Secretariat, the JFTC

Responsible Department	Inter-Enterprise Trade Division, Subcontracts Inspection Office	Responsible Person	Tetsuya Fujimoto, Director of Inter- Enterprise Trade Division Akira Kamada, Manager of Subcontracts Inspection Office	Year/Month for Policy Evaluation	April – July, 2012
------------------------	---	--------------------	--	-------------------------------------	--------------------