FY 2015 Japan Fair Trade Commission Performance Evaluation Report (Standard Format)

(The Japan Fair Trade Commission 27-(3))

	(The Japan Fair Trade Commission 27)					•		
Name of Activity		Public relations and public hearings on competition policy, etc. Promoting ties with overseas competition authorities						
Outline of Activity	implemen	Striving to strengthen cooperation and collaborations with overseas competition authorities, whether bilateral, multilateral, or implemented within the framework of technical assistance, and enhancing the international presence of the JFTC to ensure that Japan's competition policies are widely known around the world						
Goal	on bilatera assistance	Promoting ties with overseas competition agencies, etc. by holding mutual consultations with overseas competition agencies based on bilateral antimonopoly cooperation agreements, participating proactively in multilateral consultations, offering technical assistance to the competition agencies, etc. of developing economies and transition economies and enhancing the international presence of the JFTC to ensure that Japan's competition policies are widely known around the world						
	Breakdown of Budget		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	Amount requested for FY2016		
	Amount Allocated (thousand yen)	Initial budget (a)	51,971	53,541	57,718			
Budget-Related Activity		Supplementary budget (b)	0	0	0			
Budget-Related Activity		Budget Carried(c)	0	0				
		Total(a+b+c)	51,971	53,541				
		ecuted amount nousand yen)	48,914	53,000				
Important Cabinet Policy Related to the Activity (Main Administrative Policy Speeches, etc.)	June 2, 2009 Additional resolution by the Committee on Economy, Trade and Industry of the House of Representatives and House of Councilors regarding the bill to revise the Antimonopoly Act in 2009							

	Percentage of participants in technical training courses on competition law and policy for developing economies, etc. who responded that the technical training they received was effective [See Note]		Actual Results				Year in this evaluation	Target achievement	
			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2013 FY2014	Achieved
			97 %	94 %	99 %	91 %	90%		
	Target Figures for Each Fiscal Year		80%+						
	Number of English press releases posted on the JFTC website		Actual Results				Year in this evaluation	Target achievement	
		/	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2013 FY2014	Achieved
		/	16	28	35	34	30		
	Target Figures for Each Fiscal Year		the previ	e level as ous fiscal r better	16+	34+	30+		
Evaluation Index	State of holding mutual consultations with overseas competition agencies based on bilateral antimonopoly cooperation agreements, participating in multilateral consultations, offering technical assistance to the competition agencies, etc., of developing economies and transition economies and enhancing the international presence of the JFTC to ensure that Japan's competition policies are widely known around the world Target Figures for Each Fiscal Year		State of progress of the activity (actual results)				Year in this evaluation	Target achievement	
			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2013 FY2014	
			See Attachment					Considerable progress has been made	

Note: The percentage of participants who gave a score of "5" or "4" on a 5-point scale, with 5 being the highest possible score, or a score of "4" or "3" on a 4-point scale, with 4 being the highest possible score, concerning "Appropriateness of the training program," "Evaluation of presentations given by the lecturers," and "Whether or not the knowledge and experience obtained in the training will be useful".

		Administrative organization common classification Considerable progress has been made			
	Measurements of target achievement rates	In the evaluation index, targets were achieved in the "percentage of participants in technical training courses on competition law and policy for developing economies, etc., who responded that the technical training they received was effective" and that the "number of English press releases posted on the JFTC website." As for the "state of holding mutual consultations with overseas competition agencies based on bilateral antimonopoly cooperation agreements, participating in multilateral consultations, offering technical assistance to the competition agencies, etc., of developing economies and transition economies and enhancing the international presence of the JFTC to ensure that Japan's competition policies are widely known around the world," certain indexes underperformed in the results of the previous fiscal year. However, the number of accesses to the English front page of the website has been consistently reaching a record level, and the number of lecturers sent to seminars and other events hosted by overseas bar associations and other organizations has also been rising. As a result, the level of overseas attention to the JFTC is considered to be markedly increasing. Consequently, considerable progress is believed to have been made in achieving that Japan's competition policies are known around the world are believed to have been achieved.			
results		The results of surveys conducted with participants in technical training for developing economies, etc., showed that, while certain participants positively evaluated the structure of training and training programs, there were some noting the necessity for improving the style of lectures. Therefore, it is necessary to continue to strengthen cooperation and collaborations with overseas competition authorities and ensure that Japan's competition policies are widely known around the world. However, evaluating the overall evaluation index, it is possible to evaluate that initiatives, such as holding mutual consultations with overseas competition agencies, participating proactively in multilateral consultations, including ICN, offering technical assistance to the competition agencies of developing economies, etc., and ensuring that Japan's competition policies are known around the world, were necessary and effective and these activities were efficient in strengthening cooperation and collaborations with overseas competition authorities and enhancing the international presence of the JFTC to ensure that Japan's competition policies are widely known around the world. Moreover, the number of lecturers sent to seminars and other events hosted by overseas bar associations and other organizations has significantly risen between 1.5 times and 3 times compared with the previous figures. It is possible to evaluate that this initiative is necessary and effective in enhancing the international presence of the JFTC, and it is also able to be assumed it is efficient in doing so because, as described above, the number of accesses to the English front page of the JFTC website has been reaching a record level.			
Evaluation results	Directions to be reflected in next-term targets	Continue to strive to strengthen cooperation and collaborations with overseas competition authorities and ensure that Japan's competition policies are widely known around the world. Evaluation Index It is possible to evaluate that these initiatives are necessary, as well as effective and efficient to a certain extent in promoting the with overseas competition agencies, etc. However, improvements are required in the matters as described below. a. Understanding of competition laws and policies has been gradually progressing in developing economies centered on East Asi and Africa. However, the extent of understanding is not yet sufficient and the execution capabilities of competition agencies are adequate either. In this environment, Japan is likely to continue to be requested to offer technical assistance for competition laws and policies. Moreover, the quality of such needs is expected to become higher in the future as competition agencies gain experience. In this connection, to appropriately respond to the needs of countries that receive support within the limitations of personnel and financial resources, it is necessary to more efficiently examine the details of training by referring to comments for improvements expressed in surveys and in other occasions by training participants. For example, as there are many participants who requested to increase the number of discussion-style lectures, it is necessary to further examine the details of training and increase the time allowed for discussions between training participants and lecturers such measures are not able to be taken due to the period of training, it is necessary to seek to improve training by receiving questions and other inquiries after training or by taking other measures. In addition, if trainees from countries in which competit laws have not been introduced participate in training, explanations in lecture documents and in the lectures themselves should be specially prepared, and it is necessary to take measures to ensure that the details of lec			

In addition to the press release page in English, individual cases and other articles that were recently announced are directly accessed from the English front page. If such are not counted as the number of accesses to the press release page in English, wouldn't it be better if the number of hits to individual cases and other articles that were recently announced is also reflected in the evaluation? (Commission Member Wakabayashi) (The answer offered was that, considering the opinions expressed, examinations about counting the number of accesses would be Use of Expert Knowledge carried out in the future.) With respect to the English website of the JFTC, if the identity of parties that visits the website is clarified by country or by other categories, would it become possible for the JFTC, as the next step, to determine what type of a website it will create and to develop extensive and focused strategies? (Commission Member Tanabe) (The answer offered was that, considering the opinions expressed, examinations about the website would be carried out in the future.)

Survey of training participants related to responses that stated the relevant training that was organized as technical assistance was effective (i) Survey that was carried out at the time when the Vietnamese competition policy training was completed (1) Survey that was carried out at the time when the ninth Vietnamese competition policy training (from May 13, 2013 to May 23, 2013) was completed Number of persons subject to the survey - Number of persons: Number of training participants: 8 Survey method: The survey was carried out with participants after training was provided. Preparer: JICA Survey date: May 22, 2013 Number of valid responses: 8 (2) Survey that was carried out at the time when the tenth Vietnamese competition policy training (from November 11, 2013 to November 28, 2013) was completed Number of persons subject to the survey – Number of persons: Number of training participants: 8 Survey method: The survey was carried out with participants after training was provided. Preparer: JICA Survey date: November 27, 2013 Number of valid responses: 8 (3) Survey that was carried out at the time when the eleventh Vietnamese competition policy training (from May 19, 2014 to May 30, 2014) was completed Number of persons subject to the survey – Number of persons: Number of training participants: 8 Survey method: The survey was carried out with participants after training was provided. Preparer: JICA Survey date: May 28, 2014 Number of valid responses: 8 (4) Survey that was carried out at the time when the twelfth Vietnamese competition policy training (from November 10, 2013 to November 28, 2013) was completed Number of persons subject to the survey - Number of persons: Number of training participants: 8 Survey method: The survey was carried out with participants after training was provided. Survey date: November 27, 2014 Number of valid responses: 8 (ii) Survey that was carried out at the time when the competition policy training in the Philippines was completed The first competition policy training in the Philippines (from April 1, 2013 to April 12, 2013) Materials and Other Number of persons subject to the survey - Number of persons: Number of training participants: 17 Survey method: The survey was carried out with participants after training was provided. Preparer: JICA Policy Evaluation Survey date: April 11, 2013 Number of valid responses: 17 (iii) Survey that was carried out at the time when the developing economies' competition policy training was completed (1) The nineteenth developing economies' competition policy training (from August 29, 2013 to September 27, 2013) Number of persons subject to the survey - Number of persons: Number of training participants: 12 Survey method: The survey was carried out with participants after training was provided. Preparer: JICA

Information Used During

Survey date: September 26, 2013 Number of valid responses: 12

(2) The twentieth developing economies' competition policy training (from August 14, 2014 to September 12, 2014)

Number of persons subject to the survey - Number of persons: Number of training participants: 6

Survey method: The survey was carried out with participants after training was provided.

Preparer: JICA

Survey date: September 11, 2014

Number of valid responses: 6

iv) Survey that was carried out at the time when the ADBI developing economies' competition policy training was completed

(1) The first ADBI developing economies' competition policy training (from June 3, 2013 to June 7, 2013)

Number of persons subject to the survey – Number of persons: Number of training participants: 12

Survey method: The survey was carried out with participants after training was provided.

Preparer: ADBI

Survey date: June 6, 2013 Number of valid responses: 12

(2) The second ADBI developing economies' competition policy training (from June 9, 2014 to June 13, 2014)

Number of persons subject to the survey - Number of persons: Number of training participants: 20

Survey method: The survey was carried out with participants after training was provided.

Preparer: ADBI Survey date: June 12, 2014 Number of valid responses: 20

These documents are held by the General Affairs Division, Secretariat, JFTC.

Responsible Department	International Affairs Division, Secretariat	Responsible Person	Sadaaki Suwazono, Director of International Affairs Division, Secretariat	Year/Month for Policy Evaluation	April – July, 2015
------------------------	--	--------------------	--	-------------------------------------	--------------------

		State of progress of the activity (actual results)					
		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
		Including the initiatives below, efforts were made to hold mutual	Including the initiatives below, efforts were made to hold mutual	Including the initiatives below, efforts were made to hold mutual	Including the initiatives below, efforts were made to hold mutual	Including the initiatives below, efforts were made to hold mutual	
		consultations with					
		overseas competition agencies based on					
		bilateral antimonopoly cooperation agreements,					
		participate in multilateral					
		consultations, offer technical assistance to the	consultations, offer technical assistance to the	consultations, offer technical assistance to the	consultations, offer technical assistance to the	consultations, offer technical assistance to the	
		competition agencies, etc.					
		of developing economies and transition economies					
	Ct. t C	and enhance the international presence of					
	State of holding mutual	the JFTC to ensure that					
	consultations	Japan's competition policies are widely known	Japan's competition policies are widely known	Japan's competition policies are widely known	Japan's competition policies are widely known	Japan's competition policies are widely known	
	with overseas	around the world.					
	competition agencies based	Number of bilateral consultations held					
	on bilateral	(1) with overseas	(1) As on the left[6]	(1) As on the left[4]	(1) As on the left[4]	(1) As on the left[2]	
	antimonopoly	competition agencies [3]					
	cooperation agreements,	Number of times the					
	participating in	JFTC participated in					
	multilateral	meetings related to the International					
	consultations, offering	Competition (2) Network's (ICN)	(2) As on the left[2]	(2) As on the left[5]	(2) As on the left[5]	(2) As on the left[4]	
	technical	annual general	(2) As on the left[3]	(2) As on the left[5]	(2) As on the left[5]	(2) As on the left[4]	
	assistance to	meetings and working group workshops [See					
	the competition	Note 1]					
Evaluation	agencies, etc.,	[5] Number of technical					
Index	of developing economies and	training courses on					
	transition	competition law and (3) policy [See Note 2]	(3) As on the left[5]	(3) As on the left[6]	(3) As on the left[5]	(3) As on the left[4]	
	economies and	provided to developing					
	enhancing the international	economies, etc. [6]					
	presence of the	Number of times the JFTC sent lecturers to					
		(4) seminars organized by	(4) As on the left[12]	(4) As on the left[13]	(4) As on the left[20]	(4) As on the left[22]	
	that Japan's competition	overseas bar associations [7]					
	policies are	Number of English					
	widely known	press releases					
	around the world	concerning legal measures taken					
		pursuant to the (5) Antimonopoly Act	(5) As on the left[17]	(5) As on the left[16]	(5) As on the left[18]	(5) As on the left[16]	
		and those concerning	(e) 115 on the length,	(c) The on the left[10]	(c) 115 on the lengtoj	(e) 115 on the lengton	
		cases of business combinations posted					
		on the JFTC website [12]					
		[12]					
		Number of accesses to the English front page					
		of the JFTC website	(6) As on the left[41,543]	(6) As on the left[50,229]	(6) As on the left[75,861]	(6) As on the left[80,058]	
		[51,077]					
		Number of accesses to					
		(7) the English press release pages of the	(7) As on the left[16,594]	(7) As on the left[79,021]	(7) As on the left[17,766]	(7) As on the left[15,828]	
		JFTC website [8,590]					
	ı	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		L		

Target Figures
for Each Fiscal
Year

Hold mutual consultations with overseas competition agencies based on bilateral antimonopoly cooperation agreements, participate in multilateral consultations, offer technical assistance to the competition agencies, etc. of developing economies and transition economies and enhance the international presence of the JFTC to ensure that Japan's competition policies are widely known around the world.

Note 1: The ICN is a network consisting mainly of competition agencies. It was established in October 2001 with the aim of facilitating the procedural and substantive convergence of the execution of competition laws. As of March 2015, 132 competition agencies from 118 jurisdictions participated in the network.

Note 2: In cooperation with the Japan International Corporation Agency (JICA), the JFTC began providing technical training courses for officials of the competition agencies, etc. of developing economies, etc. for the purpose of providing them with opportunities to obtain knowledge about the Antimonopoly Act of Japan and its operation, thereby contributing to introducing or strengthening competition laws in developing economies, etc.