<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consistency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency/Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Possible Characteristics

• Use of assessment factors involving:
  – Impact / awareness / deterrence
  – Risks
  – Strategic significance
  – Legal principles
  – Resources

• Assign a ranking or score
• Decision by committee/management
• Consider on a case-by-case basis
The Canadian Method - Currently

• “Case Prioritization Record”
  – Based on “Objective-Based Triage”
• Uses assessment factors
• Challenges presented by this method
The Future Canadian Method?

- Re-examining the way we select and prioritize cases
- Possible assessment criteria we are considering
- Possible methods we are evaluating
Case Selection and Prioritization Challenges

- Ranking/comparing criteria
- Avoiding bias
- Creating a “balanced portfolio”
- Evaluating the effectiveness of the method
Discussion Questions

1. What method does your organization use, including challenges/solutions?
2. What are the most important criteria?
3. Does your organization consider it important to have a “balanced portfolio” of cases?
4. How do you measure the effectiveness or success of your method?