

List of companies that were involved in the violation and amounts of surcharge

1 Electricity supplied for large-scale users in the supply area of Chubu Electric Power or the Kansai Electric Power

No	Name of company involved in the violation (Corporate Number)	Location of principal office	Representative, Title	Cease and desist order	Application of leniency		
				Amount of Surcharge		The reduction rate according to the order of the application	The reduction rate according to the degree of contribution to revealing the truth of the case
1	Chubu Electric Power Co., Inc. (3180001017428)	1, Higashi-shincho, Higashi-ku, Nagoya, Aichi	Hayashi Kingo, Representative Director	—	—	—	—
				20,183,380,000 yen			
2	Chubu Electric Power Miraiz Co., Inc. (2180001135973)	1, Higashi-shincho, Higashi-ku, Nagoya, Aichi	Ootani Shinya, Representative Director	○	—	—	—
				7,372,520,000 yen			
3	The Kansai Electric Power Co., Inc. (3120001059632)	3-6-16 Nakanoshima, Kita-ku, Osaka	Mori Nozomu, Representative Executive Officer	—	100%	100%	—
				—			
Total				1	/	/	/
				27,555,900,000 yen			

2 Electricity supplied for negotiation basis users in the supply area of the Chugoku Electric Power or the Kansai Electric Power and for the public offices, etc. in the supply area of the Chugoku Electric Power

No	Name of company involved in the violation (Corporate Number)	Location of principal office	Representative, Title	Cease and desist order	Application of leniency		
				Amount of Surcharge		The reduction rate according to the order of the application	The reduction rate according to the degree of contribution to revealing the truth of the case
1	The Chugoku Electric Power Co., Inc. (4240001006753)	4-33 Komachi, Naka-ku, Hiroshima-shi, Hiroshima	Takimoto Natsuhiko, Representative Director	○	—	—	—
				70,715,860,000 yen			
2	The Kansai Electric Power Co., Inc. (3120001059632)	3-6-16 Nakanoshima, Kita-ku, Osaka	Mori Nozomu, Representative Executive Officer	—	100%	100%	—
				—			
Total				1	/	/	/
				70,715,860,000 yen			

3 Electricity supplied for the public offices, etc. in the supply area of Kyushu Electric Power or the Kansai Electric Power

No	Name of company involved in the violation (Corporate Number)	Location of principal office	Representative, Title	Cease and desist order	Application of leniency		
				Amount of Surcharge		The reduction rate according to the order of the application	The reduction rate according to the degree of contribution to revealing the truth of the case
1	Kyushu Electric Power Co., Inc. (4290001007004)	2-1-82 Watanabe-dori, Chuo-ku, Fukuoka	Ikebe Kazuhiro, Representative Director	○	30%	10% (Note 5)	20%
				2,762,230,000 yen			
2	Kyuden Mirai Energy Co., Inc. (7290001036116)	KMG Building, 3-2-23 Yakuin, Chuo-ku, Fukuoka	Mizumachi Yutaka, Representative Director	○	—	— (Note 5) (Note 6)	—
				—			
3	The Kansai Electric Power Co., Inc. (3120001059632)	3-6-16 Nakanoshima, Kita-ku, Osaka	Mori Nozomu, Representative Executive Officer	—	100%	100%	—
				—			
Total				2			
				2,762,230,000 yen			

4 Total amount of surcharges of 1 to 3 above : 101,033,990,000 yen

(Note 1) A circle “○” in “Cease and desist order” column of the tables above means that the company in the same line is subject to the cease and desist order.

(Note 2) A hyphen “—” in “Cease and desist order” column and “Amount of Surcharge” column of the tables above means that the company in the same line is not subject to the cease and desist order and/or the surcharge payment order.

(Note 3) A hyphen “—” in “Application of leniency” column of the tables above means that the company in the same line is not subject to the Leniency Program.

(Note 4) A hyphen “—” in “The reduction rate according to the degree of contribution to revealing the truth of the case” column of the tables above means that the company in the same line is not subject to the Reduction System for Cooperation in Investigation.

(Note 5) Kyushu Electric Power Co., Inc. and Kyuden Mirai Energy Co., Inc. jointly applied for leniency.

(Note 6) Kyuden Mirai Energy Co., Inc. applied for leniency but is not subject to the surcharge payment order, because there was no amount of sales (amount of sales which is the basis for the calculating a surcharge) under the Paragraph 1, Article 7-2 of the Antimonopoly Act prior to the revision in 2019.